RTP Payload Format for a 64 kbit/s Transparent Call

Status of This Memo

Copyright Notice

Abstract

   This document describes how to carry 64 kbit/s channel data
   transparently in RTP packets, using a pseudo-codec called
   "Clearmode". It also serves as registration for a related MIME type
   called "audio/clearmode".

   "Clearmode" is a basic feature of VoIP Media Gateways.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

    Voice over IP (VoIP) Media Gateways need to carry all possible data
    streams generated by analog terminals or integrated services digital
    network (ISDN) terminals via an IP network. Within this document a

    VoIP Media Gateway is a device that converts a (digital or analog)
    linear data stream to a digital packetized data stream or vice versa.
    Refer to RFC 2719 [10] for an introduction into the basic
    architecture of a Media Gateway based network.

    Usually a VoIP Media Gateway does some processing on the data it
    converts besides packetization or depacketization; i.e. echo
    cancellation or dual tone multifrequency (DTMF) detection, and
    especially a coding/decoding. But there is a class of data streams
    that does not rely on or allow any data processing within the VoIP
    Media Gateway except for packetization or depacketization. ISDN data
    terminals i.e. will produce data streams that are not compatible with
    a non-linear encoding as used for voice.

    For such applications, there is a necessity for a transparent relay
    of 64 kbit/s data streams in real-time transport protocol (RTP) [4]
    packets. This mode is often referred to as "clear-channel data" or
    "64 kbit/s unrestricted". No encoder/decoder is needed in that case,
    but a unique RTP payload type is necessary and a related MIME type is
    to be registered for signaling purposes.

    Clearmode is not restricted to the examples described above. It can
    be used by any application, that does not need a special
    encoding/decoding for transfer via a RTP connection.

    This payload format document describes a pseudo-codec called
    "Clearmode", for sample oriented 64 kbit/s data streams with 8 bits
    per sample. It is in accordance with RFC 2736 [1], which provides a
    guideline for the specification of new RTP payload formats.

    Examples for the current use of Clearmode are the transfer of "ISDN 7
    kHz voice" and "ISDN data" in VoIP Media Gateways.

    This document also serves as the MIME type registration according to
    RFC 2045 [2] and RFC 2048 [3], which defines procedures for
    registration of new MIME types within the IETF tree.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

    The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
    "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
    document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [8].

3. 64 kbit/s Data Stream Handling and RTP Header Parameters

    Clearmode does not use any encoding or decoding. It just provides
    packetization.

    Clearmode assumes that the data to be handled is sample oriented with
    one octet (8bits) per sample. There is no restriction on the number
    of samples per packet other than the 64 kbyte limit imposed by the IP
    protocol. The number of samples SHOULD be less than the path maximum
    transmission unit (MTU) minus combined packet header length. If the
    environment is expected to have tunnels or security encapsulation as
    part of operation, the number of samples SHOULD be reduced to allow
    for the extra header space.

    The payload packetization/depacketization for Clearmode is similar to
    the Pulse Code Modulation (PCMU or PCMA) handling described in RFC
    3551 [5]. Each Clearmode octet SHALL be octet-aligned in an RTP
    packet. The sign bit of each octet SHALL correspond to the most
    significant bit of the octet in the RTP packet.

    A sample rate of 8000 Hz MUST be used.
    This calculates to a 64 kbit/s transmission rate per channel.

    The Timestamp SHALL be set as described in RFC 3550 [4].

    The marker bit is always zero. Silence suppression is not applicable
    for Clearmode data streams.

    The payload type is dynamically assigned and is not presented in this
    document.

    RTP header fields not mentioned here SHALL be used as specified in
    RFC 3550 [4] and any applicable profile.

    This document specifies the use of RTP over unicast and multicast UDP
    as well as TCP. (This does not preclude the use of this definition
    when RTP is carried by other lower-layer protocols.)

4. IANA Considerations

    This document registers the following MIME subtype: audio/clearmode.

    To: ietf-types@iana.org

    Subject: Registration of MIME media type audio/clearmode

    MIME media type name: audio

MIME subtype name: clearmode

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: ptime, maxptime

> "ptime" gives the length of time in milliseconds represented by the media in a packet, as described in RFC 2327 [6].

> "maxptime" represents the maximum amount of media, which can be encapsulated in each packet, expressed as time in milliseconds, as described in RFC 3267 [9].

Encoding considerations:

> This type is only defined for transfer via RTP [4].

Security considerations:

> See Section 6 of RFC 4040

Interoperability considerations: none

Published specification: RFC 4040

Applications, which use this media type:

> Voice over IP Media Gateways, transferring "ISDN 64 kb/s data", "ISDN 7 kHz voice", or other 64 kbit/s data streams via an RTP connection

> Note: the choice of the "audio" top-level MIME type was made because the dominant uses of this pseudo-codec are expected to telephony and voice-gateway-related. The "audio" type allows the use of sharing of the port in the SDP "m=" line with codecs such as audio/g711 [6], [7], for one example. This sharing is an important application and would not be possible otherwise.

Additional information: none

Intended usage: COMMON

Author/Change controller:

> IETF Audio/Video transport working group
> delegated from the IESG

5. Mapping to Session Description Protocol (SDP) Parameters

    Parameters are mapped to SDP [6] in a standard way.

         o The MIME type (audio) goes in SDP "m=" as the media name.

         o The MIME subtype (clearmode) goes in SDP "a=rtpmap" as the
            encoding name.

         o The optional parameters "ptime" and "maxptime" go in the SDP
            "a=ptime" and "a=maxptime" attributes, respectively.

    An example mapping is as follows:

        audio/clearmode; ptime=10

        m=audio 12345 RTP/AVP 97
        a=rtpmap:97 CLEARMODE/8000
        a=ptime:10

    Note that the payload format (encoding) names defined in the RTP
    Profile are commonly shown in upper case. MIME subtypes are commonly
    shown in lower case. These names are case-insensitive in both
    places.

6. Security Considerations

    Implementations using the payload format defined in this
    specification are subject to the security considerations discussed in
    the RFC 3550 [4]. The payload format described in this document does
    not specify any different security services. The primary function of
    this payload format is to add a transparent transport for a 64 kbit/s
    data stream.

    Confidentiality of the media streams is achieved by encryption, for
    example by application of the Secure RTP profile [11].

    As with any IP-based protocol, in some circumstances a receiver may
    be overloaded simply by the receipt of too many packets, either
    desired or undesired. Network-layer authentication MAY be used to
    discard packets from undesired sources, but the processing cost of
    the authentication itself may be too high. Overload can also occur,
    if the sender chooses to use a smaller packetization period, than the
    receiver can process. The ptime parameter can be used to negotiate
    an appropriate packetization during session setup.

In general RTP is not an appropriate transfer protocol for reliable
octet streams. TCP is better in those cases. Besides that, packet
loss due to congestion is as much an issue for clearmode, as for
other payload formats. Refer to RFC 3551 [5], section 2, for a
discussion of this issue.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

   [1] Handley, M. and C. Perkins, "Guidelines for Writers of RTP
        Payload Format Specifications", BCP 36, RFC 2736, December 1999.

   [2] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail
        Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies",
        RFC 2045, November 1996.

   [3] Freed, N., Klensin, J., and J. Postel, "Multipurpose Internet
        Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Four: Registration Procedures", BCP
        13, RFC 2048, November 1996.

   [4] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson,
        "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64,
        RFC 3550, July 2003.

   [5] Schulzrinne, H. and S. Casner, "RTP Profile for Audio and Video
        Conferences with Minimal Control", STD 65, RFC 3551, July 2003.

   [6] Handley, M. and V. Jacobson, "SDP: Session Description
        Protocol", RFC 2327, April 1998.

   [7] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with
        Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, June 2002.

   [8] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement
        Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

   [9] Sjoberg, J., Westerlund, M., Lakaniemi, A., and Q. Xie, "Real-
        Time Transport Protocol (RTP) Payload Format and File Storage
        Format for the Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) and Adaptive Multi-Rate
        Wideband (AMR-WB) Audio Codecs", RFC 3267, June 2002.

7.2. Informative References

   [10] Ong, L., Rytina, I., Garcia, M., Schwarzbauer, H., Coene, L.,
        Lin, H., Juhasz, I., Holdrege, M., and C. Sharp, "Framework
        Architecture for Signaling Transport", RFC 2719, October 1999.

   [11] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K.
        Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", RFC
        3711, March 2004.

8. Acknowledgements

   The editor would like to acknowledge the help of the IETF AVT Working
   Group and, in particular the help of Colin Perkins and Magnus
   Westerlund for their intensive reviews and comments.

Author's Address

   Ruediger Kreuter
   Siemens AG
   81730 Munich, Germany

   EMail: ruediger.kreuter@siemens.com

Full Copyright Statement

Intellectual Property

Acknowledgement