

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 5333  
Category: Standards Track

R. Mahy  
Unaffiliated  
B. Hoeneisen  
Swisscom  
October 2009

## IANA Registration of Enumservices for Internet Calendaring

### Abstract

This document registers Enumservices for Internet calendaring. Specifically, this document focuses on Enumservices for scheduling with iMIP (iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol) and for accessing Internet calendaring information with CalDAV (Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV).

### Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright and License Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may

not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

## 1. Introduction

ENUM (E.164 Number Mapping, RFC 3761 [1]) is a system that uses DNS (Domain Name System, RFC 1034 [2]) to translate telephone numbers, such as '+12025550100', into URIs (Uniform Resource Identifiers, RFC 3986 [3]), such as 'mailto:user@example.com'. ENUM exists primarily to facilitate the interconnection of systems that rely on telephone numbers with those that use URIs to identify resources. The ENUM registration here could be used to allow phones, for example, to check the free/busy status of a user in their address book or propose a meeting with him or her from the user's phone number.

The Guide to Internet Calendaring [10] describes the relationship between various Internet calendaring specifications like this: "iCalendar [4] is the language used to describe calendar objects. iTIP [5] [iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol] describes a way to use the iCalendar language to do scheduling. iMIP [6] [iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol] describes how to do iTIP scheduling via e-mail".

Recently, another Standards Track protocol for calendar and scheduling access has appeared. CalDAV (Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV) [7] is a WebDAV (Web-based Distributed Authoring and Versioning) [8] based mechanism for manipulating Internet calendars, viewing free/busy lists, and via a planned scheduling extension [15], could be used for proposing calendar events as well in the future.

The existing 'mailto:' URI scheme (defined in RFC 3986 [3]) is already used to address iMIP compatible Calendar Services. Likewise, the existing 'http:' and 'https:' URI schemes (defined in RFC 2616 [11] and RFC 2818 [12]) are already used to address CalDAV compatible Calendar Services.

This document registers Enumservices for scheduling and accessing Internet calendaring information associated with an E.164 number.

## 2. Enumservice Registrations

As defined in RFC 3761 [1], the following templates cover the information needed for the registration of the Enumservices specified in this document:

Enumservice Name:

"ical-sched"

Enumservice Type:

"ical-sched"

Enumservice Subtypes:

"mailto"

URI scheme(s):

'mailto:'

Functional Specification:

This Enumservice indicates that the resource identified can be addressed by the associated URI used for scheduling using Internet calendaring via Internet mail with the iMIP [6] protocol.

Security considerations:

See Section 4.

Intended usage:

COMMON

Author:

Rohan Mahy (rohan@ekabal.com)

Enumservice Name:

"ical-access"

Enumservice Type:

"ical-access"

Enumservice Subtypes:

"http"

URI scheme(s):

'http:'

Functional Specification:

This Enumservice indicates that the resource identified can be addressed by the associated URI in order to access a user's calendar (for example free/busy status) using the CalDAV [7] protocol for Internet calendaring.

Security considerations:

See Section 4.

Intended usage:

COMMON

Author:

Rohan Mahy (rohan@ekabal.com)

```

Enumservice Name:
  "ical-access"
Enumservice Type:
  "ical-access"
Enumservice Subtypes:
  "https"
URI scheme(s):
  'https:'
Functional Specification:
  This Enumservice indicates that the resource identified can be
  addressed by the associated URI in order to access a user's
  calendar (for example free/busy status) using the CalDAV [7]
  protocol for Internet calendaring.
Security considerations:
  See Section 4.
Intended usage:
  COMMON
Author:
  Rohan Mahy (rohan@ekabal.com)

```

Note: These Enumservices use a dash "-" in the Type strings. To allow for hierarchical concepts (as required in this case), some kind of boundary needs to be in place. Neither RFC 3761 [1] nor its intended successor [17] foresee the concept of sub-subtyping. The natural solution to address this requirement is the usage of dash "-" in Type strings, which is slightly contradictory to RFC 3761 [1]. However, its intended successors [16] [17] clearly allow a dash "-" in Type strings, so that using "-" is seen as a practical way forward.

### 3. Examples

```

$ORIGIN 3.2.1.0.5.5.5.2.1.2.1.e164.arpa.
@ NAPTR 10 100 "u" "E2U+ical-access:https" \
  "!^.*$!https://cal.example.com/home/alice/calendars/!" .

$ORIGIN 3.2.1.0.5.5.5.2.1.2.1.e164.arpa.
@ NAPTR 20 100 "u" "E2U+ical-sched:mailto" \
  "!^.*$!mailto:alice@example.com!" .

```

### 4. Security Considerations

The Domain Name System (DNS) does not make policy decisions about which records it provides to a DNS resolver. All DNS records must be assumed to be available to all inquirers at all times. The information provided within an ENUM record set must therefore be considered open to the public -- which is a cause for some privacy considerations.

Revealing a calendaring URI by itself is unlikely to introduce many privacy concerns, although, depending on the structure of the URI, it might reveal the full name or employer of the target. The use of anonymous URIs mitigates this risk.

As ENUM uses DNS, which in its current form is an insecure protocol, there is no mechanism for ensuring that the answer returned to a query is authentic. An analysis of threats specific to the dependence of ENUM on the DNS is provided in RFC 3761 [1], and a thorough analysis of threats to the DNS itself is covered in RFC 3833 [14]. Many of these problems are prevented when the resolver verifies the authenticity of answers to its ENUM queries via DNSSEC (DNS Security, RFC 4035 [9]) in zones where it is available.

More serious security concerns are associated with potential attacks against an underlying calendaring system (for example, unauthorized modification or viewing). For this reason, iTIP discusses a number of security requirements (detailed in RFC 2446 [5]) that call for authentication, integrity and confidentiality properties, and similar measures to prevent such attacks. Any calendaring protocol used in conjunction with a URI scheme currently meets these requirements. The use of CalDAV with the 'https:' scheme makes use of TLS (Transport Layer Security, RFC 5246 [13]) to provide server authentication, confidentiality, and message integrity.

Unlike a traditional telephone number, the resource identified by an calendaring URI is often already guessable, and it often requires that users provide cryptographic credentials for authentication and authorization before calendar data can be exchanged. Despite the public availability of ENUM records, the use of this information to reveal an unprotected calendaring resource is unlikely in practice.

## 5. IANA Considerations

This document requests registration of the "ical-sched" and "ical-access" Enumservices according to the definitions in Section 2 of this document and RFC 3761 [1].

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [1] Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", RFC 3761, April 2004.
- [2] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, RFC 1034, November 1987.

- [3] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005.
- [4] Dawson, F. and Stenerson, D., "Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification (iCalendar)", RFC 2445, November 1998.
- [5] Silverberg, S., Mansour, S., Dawson, F., and R. Hopson, "iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP) Scheduling Events, BusyTime, To-dos and Journal Entries", RFC 2446, November 1998.
- [6] Dawson, F., Mansour, S., and S. Silverberg, "iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol (iMIP)", RFC 2447, November 1998.
- [7] Daboo, C., Desruisseaux, B., and L. Dusseault, "Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV (CalDAV)", RFC 4791, March 2007.
- [8] Dusseault, L., "HTTP Extensions for Web Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV)", RFC 4918, June 2007.
- [9] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions", RFC 4035, March 2005.

## 6.2. Informative References

- [10] Mahoney, B., Babics, G., and A. Taler, "Guide to Internet Calendaring", RFC 3283, June 2002.
- [11] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, June 1999.
- [12] Rescorla, E., "HTTP Over TLS", RFC 2818, May 2000.
- [13] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, August 2008.
- [14] Atkins, D. and R. Austein, "Threat Analysis of the Domain Name System (DNS)", RFC 3833, August 2004.
- [15] Daboo, C. and B. Desruisseaux, "CalDAV Scheduling Extensions to WebDAV", Work in Progress, August 2009.

- [16] Bradner, S., Conroy, L., and K. Fujiwara, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", Work in Progress, May 2009.
- [17] Hoeneisen, B., Mayrhofer, A., and J. Livingood, "IANA Registration of Enumservices: Guide, Template and IANA Considerations", Work in Progress, September 2009.

## Appendix A. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Lisa Dusseault and Alexander Mayrhofer for reviewing this document.

## Authors' Addresses

Rohan Mahy  
Unaffiliated

EMail: rohan@ekabal.com

Bernie Hoeneisen  
Swisscom  
CH-8000 Zuerich  
Switzerland

EMail: bernie@ietf.hoeneisen.ch (bernhard.hoeneisen@swisscom.com)

URI: <http://www.swisscom.com/>



